# **Report on Face Segmentation**

### 1. Flow Chart



### 2. Results









90 iterations



Low Resolution





90 iterations





Low Resolution

Test Case 2







Test Case 3





Low Resolution





Test Case 4





Low Resolution





Test Case 5





Low Resolution







Low Resolution

## 3. Non-frontal Test Cases (Low Resolution)





Test Case 6

Test Case 7



90 iterations



Test Case 8





Test Case 9



90 iterations

#### 4. Conclusion

- 1) It appears to perform robustly in the presence of weak boundaries, such as chin boundary.
- 2) Initial face contour should be inside the face region and the larger the better (time-saving).
- 3) Thick-border glasses have opposite impacts, which should be minimized.
- 4) Automatic detection of mouth corner positions is not accurate.
- 5) It significantly saves time if the input images are in low resolution (d\_eyes = 20 pixels), however the accuracy of face contour segmentation may decrease.
- 6) The algorithm should be improved to minimize deviations and failure cases.

### 5. References

- Chunming Li, Chenyang Xu, Changfeng Gui, and Martin D. Fox, "Level Set Evolution Without Re-initialization: A New Variational Formulation", IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), vol. 1, pp. 430-436, San Diego, 2005
- [2]. S. Osher, J. A. Sethian, "Fronts propagating with curvaturedependent speed: algorithms based on Hamilton-Jacobi formulations", J. Comp. Phys., vol. 79, pp. 12-49, 1988.
- [3]. S. Osher and R. Fedkiw, Level Set Methods and Dynamic Implicit Surfaces, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [4]. Yingjie Zhang, Liling Ge, "Two-Step Segmentation for Speedup of Convergence via Preprocessing," cisp, vol. 3, pp.734-738, 2008 Congress on Image and Signal Processing, Vol. 3, 2008
- [5]. Fuping Zhu, Jie Tian\*Modified fast marching and level set method for medical image segmentation, Journal of X-ray Science and Technology, Vol. 11, No.4, 2003, pp.193-204