## Report on Face Segmentation
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3. Non-frontal Test Cases (Low Resolution)
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## 4. Conclusion

1) It appears to perform robustly in the presence of weak boundaries, such as chin boundary.
2) Initial face contour should be inside the face region and the larger the better (time-saving).
3) Thick-border glasses have opposite impacts, which should be minimized.
4) Automatic detection of mouth corner positions is not accurate.
5) It significantly saves time if the input images are in low resolution (d_eyes $=20$ pixels), however the accuracy of face contour segmentation may decrease.
6) The algorithm should be improved to minimize deviations and failure cases.
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